PARCEL FORM: MNDOT Predictive Model Survey Parcel Number(strata/number): (Replacement for Parcel No: ____ Institution/Team: (circle one): BRW MVAC Leech Lake Location: County _____Township ___ Range ___ Section ____ 1/4,1/4 section #s_ Scale: 1:24,000 ; 1:62,500 Name of Topographic map: UTM Coordinates: (use 1927 datum and report all four corners as actually surveyed, preferably from GPS) NW corner: Easting: ______; Northing: _____ Check if from GPS: ____ NE corner: Easting: _____; Northing:____ SE corner: Easting: ; Northing: Zone Zone _____ Date(s) recorded:_____ Name of recorder: Last names of crew:____ Approx. person/hours for survey____ Date(s) surveyed: Weather conditions each day/chronological order):clear and sunny (sharp shadows) (01) ; partly sunny(02) light overcast/ hazy (light shadows)(03) ____; heavy overcast (no shadows)(04) ____; partly rainy(05) ____; rain/warm (06) ____; cold and wet (07) ____; other:(09) ____ notes: _____ Landowner Name Address Tenant name and address if different from landowner Agreement to remove and keep artifacts? Y / N; if no, who return to/when? Permission written____; verbal ____ (with whom)____ Strata in parcel, in order of importance: ____ ; Name of dominant stratum: Field verification: Any variability from defined strata? Y / N; General Description of parcel: Survey methodology:(give % each and show on map). Walkover _____; shovel test _____; Transect interval: 15 meter intervals: _____; other:_____ (indicate why): _____ Areas not surveyed (%; show on map): recent/deep alluvial sediments urban areas/houses_____; steep slopes (>15%)_____; wetlands: _____ other:describe marshy area(few woody plants)(06) ; swamp (woody plants)(07) spring(08) other Plot unmapped water sources on air photo. They can be digitized in the lab if necessary. Parcel conditions (estimate %): corn / soybeans _____; hay / cover crop ______; fallow/pasture _____; natural vegetation:forest: prairie: wetland other: Average exposure (%ground cover): 0-25%______; 25-50%________; 75-100%_______ Average visibility (how well washed) poor______ fair _____ well washed: _____

Lithic resources (check all observed): bedrock outcroppings____; colluvial/glacial____; stream channel_____; Other:_____

Stream exposures present? Y / N examined? Y / N; cultural resources observed Y / N (describe)

Type:chert ; quartzite/orthoquartzite ; other:

Hillsides: present?Y/N; examined Y/N; Rock art Y/N; Rockshelter Y/N

Cultural resources identified? Prehistoric: Y / N; Designation:

Historic: Y / N; Designation

Cultural Resources Identified

	o: Site #
Recorder: Dates Investigated:	
Type of Investigation: Pedestrian Survey; She	novel Testing; Collector Info: Other:
Cultural Period: Prehistoric:	Contact
Post-Contact	
Site Dimensions:(in meters): Length; v If available, give GPS UTM coordinates for center	r of site or points on margin of site, and center of special features or clusters:
Description (give site location with regard to topog	graphic features):
Soil on Site: sandy silt loam sa Slope (%) 0-5%; 6-10%; 11-15%; Aspect (circle best) Facing: N NE NW	
Clusters/concentrations of artifacts? Y / N If yes Describe:	
Features (check <u>all</u> that apply and <u>describe</u>) depress description_	ssions foundations
Site Description: Check <u>all</u> that apply single artifact artifact scatter structural ruin rock alignment other Description	lithic scatter mound/earthwork rock art cemetery/burial
Components represented:	
-	he site (show on map):
Diagnostic artifacts recovered: Ceramics:: Grit tempered:she Lithics:Other	
Exotic materials (check <u>all</u> that apply) catlinite native copper Knife River flint obsidian	_ Hixton orthoquartzite other
Degree of Disturbance minimal moderate heavy _ description: plowed eroded other:	destroyed unknown
Lot numbers assigned: Transect sample: Non-probabilistic sample: Shovel test bags: Others/Special sample (eg lithic raw materials):	

Map: do to scale 1/4 mile:

note prominent rises and ancient drainage channels, etc.particularly those that do not show on topo map identify areas surveyed with different methods, eg shovel testing and surface reconnaissance note areas not surveyed, and reason

indicate areas with exposure or visibility markedly different from the average for parcel note areas of water

map cultural resources within parcel

If the information can be generated through GPS, great, but a quick sketch map (not even necessarily to scale, just an overall example of relative positioning) may serve very well as a backup..

notes on completing parcel form:

Parcel Address: For coding for GIS, we will eliminate dashes, etc, but for field tags the dashes will help eliminate confusion. Use Township-Range-Section-Quarter Section :

22	21	12	11	See attached page for numbering system
23	24	13	14	
32	31	42	41	
33	34	43	44	

Lithic resources observed: collect a small sample for Kent Bakken (fist size or smaller is all that is necessary); location to quarter-quarter section is adequate,

Designating cultural resources: Team ID/Parcel no/site # (number in sequence within each parcel, eg first site found in parcel, second site found in parcel, etc; We should use this designation for all sites, including known ones. For previously known sites with a State site number, you can add that, but keep the field/parcel designation, so we can have a uniform series of site ID

Example: MVAC/110-30-14-42/site 1

Tag Info: (to be installed on the paper format of your choice): this is a suggestion, please recommend modifications, since it would be useful to have similar tags throughout the project.

MNDOT Predictive Model

TEAM: County: Lot number

Parcel # Site #

Shovel Test No:

Level(cmbgs): Surface

Circle one:Transect Sample / Non-Probabilistic Sample

Supervisor Name

Date